

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/763,917	07/03/2001	Siu-Leong Iu	54270-138	3640
20277 75	90 07/28/2005		EXAMINER	
MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP 600 13TH STREET, N.W.			WINTER, JOHN M	
	N, DC 20005-3096		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3621	
			DATE MAILED: 07/28/200:	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		_ ~~				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/763,917	IU ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		John M. Winter	3621			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	dress		
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) N atute, cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely MONTHS from the mailing date of this co e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•		·			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	3 May 200 <u>5</u> .				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	<u></u>					
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)□	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	-···	• •		
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)☐ a)i	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. Itents have been received in priority documents have be treau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No een received in this National	Stage		
A44- 1						
Attachmen  1) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intervie	ew Summary (PTO-413)	11		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:						

Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050725

Application/Control Number: 09/763,917

Art Unit: 3621

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-30 remain pending.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

# Response to Arguments

The Applicant's arguments filed on May 13, 2005 have been fully considered

The Levine reference has been withdrawn

The amended claims a rejected in view of the newly discovered reference Epstein. (US Patent 6,601,046).

See following rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112: The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1,2 and 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention, in Claim 1 the limitation "perceptivity distorted is not a positive claim limitation and does not limit the scope of the claim, claims 2 and 18 similarly have the claimed feature "visibly distorted"

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made

Application/Control Number: 09/763,917

Art Unit: 3621

to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rhoads, (US Patent No 6,363,159) in view of Saito (US Patent 6,182,218).

As per claim 1,

Rhoads ('159) discloses a method for processing an audio or video data stream containing digital watermark data comprising:

altering the audio or video information slightly by applying to the audio or video datastream a predetermined mapping function associated with the playback unit to distort the audio or video content (Figures 2 and 3)

Such that audio or video information produced by combining multiple audio or video data streams corresponding to said information, from different playback units will be perceptibly distorted(Figures 2 and 3)

Rhoads ('159) does not explicitly disclose "utilizing a playback device for playing out information in the audio or video data stream during the playing by the playback unit," Saito ('218) discloses "utilizing a playback device for playing out information in the audio or video data stream during the playing by the playback unit," (Figure 1, column 8, lines 15-18). It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the Rhoads ('159)'s method with Saito's teaching in order to enforce digital rights management systems.

As per claim 18,

Rhoads ('159) discloses a playback unit, comprising:

means for imparting a prescribed transformation to the video image for intentionally warping the video image in a manner, and by an amount not readily visible to a viewer such that a composite video image produced by multiple playback units will be visibly distorted. (Figures 2 and 3)

Rhoads ('159) does not explicitly disclose "An input for receiving an encoded data stream bearing a video image; a decoder for decoding the encoded video stream". Saito ('218) discloses "An input for receiving an encoded data stream bearing a video image; a decoder for decoding the encoded video stream "((Figure 1, column 8, lines 5-30). It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the Rhoads ('159)'s method with Saito's teaching in order to enforce digital rights management systems.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-17 and 19-30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Page 4

#### Conclusion

Examiners note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Winter whose telephone number is (571) 272-6713. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:30am-5:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached at (571) 272-6712.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair">http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Any response to this action should be mailed to:

#### **Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**

## Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 305-7687	[Official communications; including After Final communications labeled
"Box AF"]	
(703) 308-1396	[Informal/Draft communications, labeled "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to the Examiner in the Knox Building, 50 Dulany St. Alexandria, VA.

July 22, 2005

JMW

SUPERVISORY PATERT

TECHNOLORY CHATER EGGO